

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                         | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/573,821   | 03/28/2006                          | Kazuaki Okuno        | 47259-5001-00 US    | 9193             |
| 55694<br>DRINKER BI  | 7590 05/22/200<br>DDLE & REATH (DC) |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| 1500 K STREET, N.W.<br>SUITE 1100<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20005-1209 |                                     |                      | SWOPE, SHERIDAN     |                  |
|  |                                     |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  | .,                                  |                      | 1652                |                  |
|  |                                     |                      |                     |                  |
|  |                                     |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |                                     |                      | 05/22/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) OKUNO ET AL. 10/573,821 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

|  | SHERIDAN SWOPE  | 1652   |              |
|--|---|--|--------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence ad   | ldress       |
| Period for Reply   |   |  |              |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.3 CM of 18 CM | TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N.<br>nely filed<br>the mailing date of this o<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ,            |
| Status   |   |  |              |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ma   |   |  |              |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This   | action is non-final.  |  |              |
| <ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowan</li> </ol>   | ce except for formal matters, pro   | secution as to the   | merits is    |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | k parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45  | 53 O.G. 213.   |              |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |              |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.  |   |  |              |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  | n from consideration.   |  |              |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |  |              |
| 6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |  |              |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |   |  |              |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-35 are subject to restriction and/or e   | lection requirement.  |  |              |
| Application Papers   |   |  |              |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  |   |  |              |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce  | pted or b) objected to by the I   | Examiner.  |              |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o  | rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See  | 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |              |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  | on is required if the drawing(s) is ob-   | ected to. See 37 Cl  | FR 1.121(d). |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa  | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form P7  | ГО-152.      |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |              |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)   | ⊢(d) or (f).   |              |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents  | have been received  |  |              |
| Certified copies of the priority documents   |   | on No  |              |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority   |   |  | Stane        |
| application from the International Bureau  | •   | a in this reactories   | Olage        |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of  |   | d  |              |
|  |   |  |              |
|  |   |  |              |
| Attachment(s)  |   |  |              |
| ) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary  | (PTO-413)  |              |

 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_ 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/573,821 Page 2

Art Unit: 1652

## DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-35 are pending.

If Applicants wish to receive full benefit of their claim to priority to JP 20030342183, filed September 30, 2003, an English-language translation or equivalent thereof should be filed.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, Claims 1-11 and 31-33, drawn to a method for cleaving a polypeptide having an OmpT cleavage site using a wild-type OmpT.

Group II, Claims 12-30, 34, and 35, drawn to a method for cleaving a polypeptide using a variant OmpT.

For each of Inventions I and II above, restriction to one of the following is also required under 35 USC 121. Therefore, election is required of one of Inventions I and II <u>and</u> one or more of the following inventions, as indicated.

If Group I is elected, elect one specific OmpT cleavage site listed in Claims 1-11 and 31-33.

If Group II is elected, elect one specific OmpT variant listed in Claims 12-30, 34, and 35. If Group II is elected, elect one specific OmpT cleavage site listed in Claims 12-30, 34, and 35.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature for the following reasons: The technical feature linking Groups I and II appears to be that they all relate to methods for cleaving proteins using OmpT protease. However, methods for cleaving proteins using OmpT protease were well known in the art. Moreover, Okuno et al., 2002 (IDS) teach cleavage of the peptide RLELYK<sup>L</sup>RHHG (Table 3), which anticipates Claim 1. Therefore Groups I and II share no special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art. Furthermore, the methods of Groups I and II do not use the same reagents or produce the same results. Accordingly, Groups I and II are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

Application/Control Number: 10/573,821

Art Unit: 1652

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action lack unity of invention for the reasons given above <u>and</u> there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Payment of fees for examination of additional inventions may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, as shown by their different classification. Furthermore, as explained above, searching more than one invention would be a burden on the Office. Therefore, restriction for examination purposes, as indicated, is proper. If Applicants should traverse the instant restriction based on an argument that the inventions or sub-inventions are not distinct, they should provide evidence as to why the skilled artisan would find any restricted inventions or sub-inventions obvious over their elected invention and sub-invention.

To insure that each document is properly filed in the electronic file wrapper, it is requested that each of amendments to the specification, amendments to the claims, Applicants' remarks, requests for extension of time, and any other distinct papers be submitted on separate pages.

It is also requested that Applicants identify support, within the original application, for any amendments to the claims and specification.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheridan L. Swope whose telephone number is 571-272-0943. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F; 9:30-7 EST. Application/Control Number: 10/573,821 Page 4

Art Unit: 1652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Nashed can be reached on 571-272-0934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published application may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on the access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/SHERIDAN SWOPE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652